# BOOK REVIEW: DAVID MILLER, FATIH BAYRAM, JASON ROTHMAN AND LUDOVICASERRATRICE (EDS.), BILINGUAL COGNITION AND LANGUAGE: THE STATE OF THE SCIENCE ACROSS ITS SUBFIELD.

(JOHN BEJAMINS: AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS, 2018; VI + 403 p.)

KhelifaAlaeddine
Multilingualism Doctoral School
<a href="mailto:khelifaalaeddin@gmail.com">khelifaalaeddin@gmail.com</a>
University of Pannonia

### **ABSTRACT**

The present paper reviews the Bilingual cognition and language: the state of science across its subfield edited by Miller, Bayram, Rothman and Ludovicaserratrice (2018). The editor's goal is to provide a general overview of bilingualism and its implications for understanding the brain, language development and processing, and the social and educational aspects of different types of bilingualism. There are 17 chapters of this collection divided into main parts; (1) theoretical accounts, (2) child bilingualism, (3) adult bilingualism, and (4) bilingual cognition, neuroscience, and impairment. Each chapters grouping is dedicated to delineating key concepts in the field of bilingualism.

Keywords: child bilingualism, adult bilingualism, bilingual cognition, neuroscience, impairment.

# **INTRODUCTION**

In lieu of the active research in the field of bilingualism, this collection brings to light the authors who covered all theories related to bilingualism ranging from child and adult bilinguals to language development, processing and impediment. The first chapter celebrates 25 years of Studies in Bilingualism series (SiBIL).Bilingualism is a dynamic that could process not confirmed by a study based on monolingualism. This book is a tribute to SiBIL's enduring legacy in the field. Until the 1960s, bilingualism was thought to be the cause of "inequalities in learning and resulting intelligence" (see editors earlier works in this volume). In a study by Peal and Lambert (1962), by properly controlling deterministic variables, highly skilled bilinguals are not only equivalent in linguistic and nonlinguistic intelligence but actually performed better than their corresponding monolingual counterparts. Although bilingualism has measurable effects and there are differences between monolingualism bilingualism, the attribution of advantages to bilingualism related to fluid concepts and is influenced from an evaluation perspective (see Bialystok in this

volume). The remainder of the book summarizes chapters by the influential most and groundbreaking research on particular topics of bilingualism. Each chapter presents current state of research various topics in bilingualism research and is summarized in the appropriate areas.

# **DISCUSSION**

The first part, namely theoretical accounts, features Cornips on bilingual child acquisition, Wulff and C. Ellis (2018) along with White (2018) on second language acquisition and debates. Cornips urges to consider bilingual child acquisition in situated interactions away from the narrowed scope of the monolingual research, with emphasis on language ideologies and dynamics. Wulff and Ellis elaborate on the usage-based approach, claiming that L1 usage is intrusive to second language acquisition (L2A), despite the immersive exposure. encouraging the form-focused L2 instruction for better proficiency. Cornips addresses the major developments in children bilingual acquisition. Considering methodological, conceptual and analytical aspects within sociolinguistic framework. Cornips highlights the complex and varied consequences development language that depend on language use. Wulff and Ellis describe the constructs and working assumptions that characterize а usage-based approach to language acquisition, with particular attention to the cognitive foundations of these second language acquisition. The authors argue that not structures can be learned equally by all learners showing that learning in a naturalistic setting is problematic for second languages. The author also explains how adult learners show the effects of learning attention and how pedagogical interventions can take advantage of learners' explicit and conscious processing abilities. In the final chapter of this first section, White provides a brief description of a formal linguistic approach second language acquisition problems. This is followed by a detailed examination of advanced language skills and the ultimate success of L2 acquisition using a linguistic approach based on Universal Grammar (UG).

As the first part exposes the formal education contrast with usage-based and exposure acquisition, the second part of the book takes on a similar approach with child bilingualism. This series is a compilation of a number of contemporary works simultaneous and sequential bilingualism, acquisition, the role of input, and related corporabased research. **Nicoladis** explains the language acquisition and development of simultaneous bilingual children in the early acquisition period, as well as processing language and acquisition comparison in to

monolingual children.While showing some cognitive development, a bilingual child's development can achieve lesser progress than that of monolingual children. Α. Murphy rather justifies this developmental lag with decoding difficulties that stem from limited access to literacy. This chapter concludes with Bialystok's discussion of the so-called bilingual advantages. Here, the pros and cons of the argument that early bilingualism can lead to cognitive advantages a single language presented. In her study of second language (L2) acquisition children, Chondrogianni compares different groups of L2 learners with particular attention development the of learners' phonology, vocabulary, morphological and syntax, examining how children's development compares to the L1 development of children and adult L2 learners, the role of the L1 in the learning process, and the effects of age on L2 acquisition (L2A) in childhood.she ends up providing an insight on children L2A with emphasis on the age of L2 exposure and input quality and quantity. De Houwer dives in the L2A input factor and bases her categorization on frequency and alternation between the linguistic repertoires.De Houwer assesses the potential association between language input and children's bilingual language development explains the relative and importance of the dynamic nature bilingual language input. Similarly, Murphy reviews

theoretical and empirical studies of the literacy development in the majority language of minority language learners. The author then presents evidence for a twolevel process of language development in minority language learners.As child bilingualism topic remained widely popular, CHILDES was introduced as accessible corpora for researchers. Yip, Mai Matthews discussed the methods used in creating and analyzing They the corpus data. dive into the of bilingual study development language bν reviewing CHILDES with a recent overview of bilingual corpora and various methods used to create and analyze corpus data.

Bernolet and Hartsuiker, in the third part of this book, explain the learning trajectory of syntactic representations. They argue that representations syntactic reinforced with the L2 proficiency syntax level. L1 illustrate a development model of the shared syntactic structures and explain how these structures are primed between L1 and L2. examine claims about models of syntax production in adult L2 acquisition; abstraction of L2 process syntax representation, integration of L2 representations with existing L1 representations, activation of L1 syntax at the same time of L2 syntax processing. White, Wulff, Ellis conclude and that proficiency and L1 syntax play a different syntactic role in representation outcomes for L2

learners and explain the process of late L2 syntax learning in longitudinal studies. Yılmaz and Schmid present an integration derived from the results previous studies of L1 attrition in adult speakers to explain how much their native language skills may be affected.On L1 attrition and bilingualism accounts, Yilmaz and Schmid demonstrate languages' interaction in bilingual brain between acquisition and attrition, and the extent of L1 compromises in comparison with monolinguals. For those trying to maintain their heritage L1 in a different linguistic environment, more compromises are presented by Putnam. Putnamet al. provide an overview of research in heritage bilingualism. They discuss the appropriateness of classifying different groups and individuals under the same term heritage speaker and present different groups of heritage speakers: children, adults, young elderly bilinguals.

The fourth part of this book pays a particular attention to bilingual cognition, executive function, impairment and mental lexicon from neurolinguistics and psycholinguistics perspectives. Bialystok (2009;2018) concludes bilingualism that demands diverse and higher executive functions and therefore their correlation. Languages may interact and cross-influence each other during activation and

process which recruits cognitive ability between activation and inhibition, though Bobb and Kroll (2006; 2008) demonstrate L1 and L2 co-activation through dynamics of bilingualism. In favor of this argument, Del Maschio and Abutalebi note that L1 and L2 co-activated through same neural networks. Between the ebbs and the flows of bilingualism, Paradis and Govindarajan researched developmental language disorders and impairment in children. reviewing their developmental capacity, their linguistic profiles, the crosslinguistic influence, their maintenance. language and intervention practices. Kiran and Gray closely examined language impairment after brain damage and aphasia, concluding that the co-existence of languages and the higher cognitive control brain are interpreted through structural and functional changes, and the degree impairment of and recovery.

# **CONCLUSION**

As the overview has shown, the editors are proud to be able to offer readers with a state-of-the-art comprehensive overview of the field of bilingualism. Finally, they thank the authors for their contributions, the reviewers for their time and expertise, and

especially the Benjamin publishing team, which coincides with the 25th anniversary of the Bilingualism Research Series.Whether you are looking for pointers to your literature review or a timely read of the basics, this studies collection covered all the popular bilingualism subfields.

### REFERENCES

- Bialystok, E. (2009).

  Bilingualism: The good, the bad, and the indifferent.

  Bilingualism: Language and Cognition, 12(1), 3–11.

  doi:10.1017/S13667289080
  03477.
- Bialystok, E. (2018). Bilingualism and Executive Function: What's the Connection?. In Miller, D., Bayram, F., Rothman, J. and Serratrice, L. (Eds.), Bilingualism: The State of the Science Across its Subfields. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Kroll, J. F., Bobb, S., & Wodniecka, (2006).Z. Language selectivity is the exception, not the rule: Arguments against a fixed locus of language selection bilingual speech. Bilingualism: Language and Cognition, 9(02), 119-135. doi:10.1017/S13667289060 02483
- Kroll, J. F., Bobb, S. C., Misra,
  M., & Guo, T. (2008).
  Language selection in bilingual speech: Evidence for inhibitory processes.

Acta Psychol (Amst), 128(3), 416–430. doi: S0001-6918(08)00022-X [pii] 10.1016/j.actpsy.2008.02.00 1.

Peal, E., & Lambert, W. E. (1962). The relation of bilingualism to intelligence. Psychological Monographs: General and Applied, 76(27), 1. doi:10.1037/h0093840

White, (2018).L. Formal Linguistics and Second Language Acquisition. In Miller, D., Bayram, Rothman, J. and Serratrice, L. (Eds.), Bilingualism: The State of the Science Across Subfields. its

Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.

Wullf, S. & Ellis, N. C. (2018).

Usage-based Approaches to
Second Language
Acquisition. In Miller, D.,
Bayram, F., Rothman, J.
and Serratrice, L. (Eds.),
Bilingualism: The State of
the Science Across its
Subfields.
Amsterdam/Philadelphia:

John Benjamins.